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- very strong simplifications:
  - basic shear flow
  - steady laminar 2D flow
  - simple linear flux/ shear stress relations

But comparison between linear/ non linear computations in 2D
3D linear
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The coupled problem

- for a given soil $f(x, t)$
- we have to compute the flow $(u(x, y, t))$.

- the flow erodes the soil.
- which changes the soil.
- *etc*

we aim to present a simple description for the flow and use simple model equations to describe the interaction.
The erodible bed

Mass conservation for the sediments:

\[ \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial q}{\partial x}. \]

**Problem**: What is the relationship between \( q \) and the flow?  
*hint*: the larger \( u \) the larger the erosion, the larger \( q \)  
\( q \) seems to be proportional to the skin friction
The erodable bed: relations between $q$ and $u$

\[ \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} = 0 \]

In the literature one founds Charru / Izumi & Parker / Yang / Blondeau

\[ q_s = E \varpi (\tau - \tau_s)^a \]

if $(\tau - \tau_s) > 0$ then $\varpi(\tau - \tau_s) = (\tau - \tau_s)$ else $\varpi((\tau - \tau_s)) = 0$.

or with a slope correction for the threshold value:

\[ \tau_s + \Lambda \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \]

$a, E$ coefficients, $a = 3$
Other simplification of mass transport

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} q + V q = V (\varpi (\tau - \tau_s - \Lambda \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}) \gamma). \]

- total flux of convected sediments \( q \) (left figure).
- threshold effect \( \tau_s \)
- slope effect \( \Lambda \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \)
- \( \varpi(x) = x \) if \( x > 0 \) (else 0), \( \gamma, V \) ...

Sauerman, Kroy, Hermann 01/ Andreotti Claudin Douady 02/ Lagrée 00/03
The fluid

Numerical resolution of Navier Stokes equations. In real applications: viscosity changed... turbulence...

here we will present some severe simplifications:

- Steady flow
- Asymptotic solution of N.S.: laminar viscous theory at $Re = \infty$
  Triple Deck Stewartson 69/ Neiland 69 (in fact Double Deck Smith 80)
  In fact Fowler 01
- Linearized solutions
Asymptotic solution of the flow over a bump; double deck theory

\[ h = 0.1, \ Re = 1000 \]
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\[ h = 0.2, \; Re = 1000 \]
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\[ h = 0.3, \quad Re = 1000 \]
Asymptotic solution of the flow over a bump; double deck theory

We guess that viscous effects are important near the wall
Perturbation of a shear flow
$Re = \infty$, Triple/Double Deck

$u \simeq U_0' \varepsilon \delta$
Re = ∞, Triple/Double Deck
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\[ u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \approx \nu \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} \]
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\( Re = \infty, \) Triple/Double Deck

\[ u \simeq U'_0 \varepsilon \delta \]

\[ u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \simeq \nu \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} \]

\[ (U'_0 \varepsilon \delta) \frac{U'_0 \varepsilon \delta}{\lambda} \simeq \nu \frac{U'_0 \varepsilon \delta}{\varepsilon^2 \delta^2} \]

\[ \lambda = \varepsilon^3 \left( \frac{U'_0 \delta^3}{\nu} \right) \]

so \( \varepsilon = \lambda^{1/3} Re^{-1/3} \), with \( Re = U'_0 \delta^2 / \nu \).
Double Deck theory

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} u + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} v = 0, \quad u \frac{\partial}{\partial x} u + v \frac{\partial}{\partial y} u = -\frac{d}{dx} p + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} u.
\]

Boundary conditions: no slip condition: \( u(x, y = f(x)) = 0, \quad v(x, y = f(x)) = 0, \)
matching with the shear flow \((y \to \infty)\)

\[
\lim_{y \to \infty} u(x, y) = U'_{S}(0)y.
\]

upstream:

\[
u(x \to -\infty, y) = U'_{S}(0)y, \quad v(x \to -\infty, y) = 0.
\]
Asymptotic solution of the flow over a bump; double deck theory

Viscous effects are important near the wall
Perturbation of a shear flow
Non linear resolution (with flow separation) possible
But first we linearise
Linearizing the equations: We look at a linearized solution: \( u = y + \alpha u_1, \) \( v = \alpha v_1, \) \( p = \alpha p_1 \) with \( \alpha << 1. \)

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} u_1 + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} v_1 &= 0, \\
y \frac{\partial}{\partial x} u_1 + v_1 &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial x} p_1 + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} u_1,
\end{align*}
\]

with boundary conditions:
\( u_1 = v_1 = 0 \) in \( y = f(x, z) , \)
\( y \to \infty, u_1 = +f(x, z), \)
\( x \to -\infty, u_1 = 0, v_1 = 0. \) Looking at solutions in Fourier space.
Linearizing the equations: We look at a linearized solution: \( u = y + \alpha u_1, \ v = \alpha v_1, \ p = \alpha p_1 \) with \( \alpha << 1 \).

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} u_1 + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} v_1 &= 0, \\
y \frac{\partial}{\partial x} u_1 + v_1 &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial x} p_1 + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} u_1,
\end{align*}
\]

with boundary conditions:
\( u_1 = v_1 = 0 \) in \( y = f(x, z) \),
\( y \to \infty, u_1 = +f(x, z) \),
\( x \to -\infty, u_1 = 0, v_1 = 0 \). Looking at solutions in Fourier space.

After some algebra:

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \bigg|_0 = 1 + \alpha FT^{-1}[(3Ai(0))(-ik)^{1/3}FT[f]] + O(\alpha^2).
\]
Asymptotic solution of the flow over a bump;
Linear/ Non Linear double deck theory

Reduced wall shear $\left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \bigg|_0 - 1 \right) / \alpha$
function of $x$

for the bump $\alpha e^{-\pi x^2}$
with $\alpha = 0.10, \alpha = 0.5, \alpha = 1.0, \alpha = 2, \alpha = 2.25, \alpha = 2.50$.
The plain curve (lin.) is the linear prediction, other curves come from the non linear numerical solution.

Notice the numerical oscillations in the case of separated flow (separation is for $\alpha > 2.1$)
Asymptotic solution of the flow over a bump; double deck theory
Asymptotic solution of the flow over a bump; double deck theory
Asymptotic solution of the flow over a bump; double deck theory
Comparison with Navier Stokes

good!
$Re$ increasing
$\alpha$ fixed.

conclusion: Perturbation of shear flow is in advance compared to the bump crest.
Completely erodible soil

Solution of

\[ \tau = TF^{-1}[(3Ai(0))(-ik)^{1/3}TF[f]] \]

\[ \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} + Vq = V\varpi(\tau - \tau_s) \]

\[ \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial q}{\partial x} \]
Completely erodible soil

eexample of runs:

animation 1, 
animation 2 (length *2).
always coarsening, finally there is only one bump in the ”box”.
Displacement of a "dune" in a shear flow: rigid soil

Solution of

\[ \tau = TF^{-1}[(3Ai(0)(-ik)^{1/3}TF[f]] \]
\[ \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} + Vq = V\varpi(\tau - \tau_s) \]
\[ \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial q}{\partial x} \]

implementation of the fact that \( f \) cannot be negative.
Example of displacement of a "dune"
Example
Self Similarity
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rescaling $x = Lx^*$, we have $f = L^{1/3} f^*$ so that $\tau$ is invariant

$$\tau = L^{-1/3} L^{1/3} TF^{-1}[(3Ai(0))(-ik^*)^{1/3} TF[f^*]] = \tau^*$$

$q = q^*$

$\int f\,dx = m$ so $L^{4/3} = m$ with $\int f^*dx^* = 1$

$$(\frac{1}{VL}) \frac{\partial q^*}{\partial x^*} + q^* = \varpi(\tau^* - \tau_s)$$

$$\frac{\partial f^*}{\partial t^*} = -\frac{\partial q^*}{\partial x^*}$$

t = L^{4/3}t^*$ and $c = L^{-1/3}c^*$ so $c = m^{-1/4}c^*$
Self Similarity

rescaling \( x = Lx^* \), we have \( f = L^{1/3}f^* \) so that \( \tau \) is invariant

\[
\tau = L^{-1/3}L^{1/3}TF^{-1}[(3Ai(0))(-ik^*)^{1/3}TF[f^*]] = \tau^*
\]

\[
q = q^*
\]

\[
\int f \, dx = m \text{ so } L^{4/3} = m \text{ with } \int f^* \, dx^* = 1
\]

\[
\left(\frac{1}{VL}\right) \frac{\partial q^*}{\partial x^*} + q^* = \varpi (\tau^* - \tau_s)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial f^*}{\partial t^*} = -\frac{\partial q^*}{\partial x^*}
\]

\[
t = L^{4/3}t^* \text{ and } c = L^{-1/3}c^* \text{ so } c = m^{-1/4}c^*
\]

\[
1/c \text{ proportional to } m^{1/4} \text{ and function } Vm^{3/4}
\]
Self Similarity
two different initial bumps of same $m$ lead to the same final state
Self Similarity

two cases of same $V m^{3/4}$. 

$m=1.4 \ V=3$
$m=1 \ V=3.86116$

$V^m^{**(3/4)=3.86116}$
Self Similarity

comparing the 2D non erodible code to the 3D code (in 2D!)

Carry 2004 / 10. Juni 2004
Self Similarity

selfsimilarity, unit mass \( m = 1 \), different \( Vm^{3/4} \).
output flux versus $V_m^{3/4}$
Self Similarity

cm^{1/4} as function of Vm^{3/4}.
$1/c$ is function of $m^{1/4}$
Linear / Non linear comparison
Abbildung 1: Comparing the skin fricition perturbation \((\tau - 1)\) and the "dunes" in the linear and non linear cases, here with separation
Abbildung 2: Comparing the skin friction perturbation \((\tau - 1)\) and the ”dunes” in the linear and non linear cases
animation
q proportional only to skin friction

\[ q = \tau - \tau_s - \Lambda \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \]

new similarity \( \Lambda m^{-1/4} \), \( c = m^{-1/4} \)

![Graph showing the influence of different Lambda values on the function.]  

Influence of \( \Lambda \) linear case \( x = m^{3/4} x^* \) and \( f = m^{1/4} f^* \) seems to be no \( q = \tau - \tau_s \) solution.
Movement of a 3D Bump in a shear flow
We look at a linearized solution:

\[ u = y + au_1, \quad v = av_1, \quad w = aw_1, \quad p = ap_1 \quad \text{with} \quad a << 1. \]

The system becomes:

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} u_1 + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} v_1 + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} w_1 = 0,
\]

\[
y \frac{\partial}{\partial x} u_1 + v_1 = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x} p_1 + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} u_1,
\]

\[
y \frac{\partial}{\partial x} w_1 = -\frac{\partial}{\partial z} p_1 + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} w_1,
\]

with boundary conditions:

\[ u_1 = v_1 = w_1 = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad y = f(x, z), \]

\[ y \to \infty, \quad u_1 = +f(x, z), \quad w_1 = 0 \]

\[ x \to -\infty, \quad u_1 = 0, \quad v_1 = 0, \quad w_1 = 0. \]

Looking at solutions in Fourier space...
This finally gives the perturbation for the skin friction

\[
\frac{d\hat{u}}{dy} = 3\left((-ik_x)^{1/3}Ai(0)k_x\left(1 - \frac{(-3Ai'(0))k_z^2}{9Ai(0)^2(k_x^2 + k_z^2)}\right)\right)\hat{f} \\
\frac{d\hat{w}}{dy} = 3\left((-ik_x)^{1/3}Ai(0)\frac{k_x}{k_z} \frac{(-3Ai'(0))k_z^2}{9Ai(0)^2(k_x^2 + k_z^2)}\right)\hat{f}
\]

Abbildung 4: skin friction \(\tau_x = \partial u_1/\partial y\)  
Abbildung 5: skin friction \(\tau_y = \partial w_1/\partial y\)
Skin friction on a 3D bump $\alpha = 0.0$
Skin friction on a 3D bump $\alpha = 0.1$
Skin friction on a 3D bump $\alpha = 0.2$
Skin friction on a 3D bump $\alpha = 0.3$
Skin friction on a 3D bump $\alpha = 0.4$
Skin friction on a 3D bump $\alpha = 0.5$
Skin friction on a 3D bump $\alpha = 0.6$
Skin friction on a 3D bump $\alpha = 0.7$
Skin friction on a 3D bump $\alpha = 0.8$
Skin friction on a 3D bump $\alpha = 0.9$
Skin friction on a 3D bump $\alpha = 1.0$
Example over an erodible bed

Solution of

\[
\hat{\tau}_x = 3((-ikx)^{1/3} Ai(0))k_x (1 - \frac{(-3Ai'(0))k_z^2}{9Ai(0)^2(k_x^2 + k_z^2)}) \hat{f}
\]

\[
\hat{\tau}_y = 3((-ikx)^{1/3} Ai(0)) \frac{k_x (-3Ai'(0))k_z^2}{9Ai(0)^2k_z(k_x^2 + k_z^2)}
\]

\[
qx = \tau_x - \Lambda \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}
\]

\[
qy = \tau_y - \Lambda \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial qx}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial qy}{\partial y}
\]

example of resolution
Abbildung 6: initial time

Abbildung 7: $t = 2.5$
Transport flux

We propose a 3D extension as:

\[ \frac{\partial q}{\partial s} + Vq = V \varpi (\tau - \tau_s e) \]

with \( e = \frac{\tau}{\tau} \) where \( s \) is counted in the direction of the streamlines near the soil: \( \frac{\partial}{\partial s} = e \cdot \nabla \)

Small deflection of the bump: flow remains in \( x \) direction \( s = (x, 0) \): the saturated flux \( q_{sat} = \varpi (\tau - \tau_s e) \) is in the direction of the skin friction.

\[ \frac{\partial q_x}{\partial x} + Vq_x = V \varpi (\tau_x - \tau_s) \]
\[ \frac{\partial q_z}{\partial x} + Vq_z = V \tau_z (\varpi (\tau_x - \tau_s)) \]

note here we take \( q_{sat} = 0 \) when \( f <= 0 \)
we add an \( ad hoc \) extra diffusion term:

\[ \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = - \frac{\partial q_x}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial q_z}{\partial z} + D \left( \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z^2} \right) \]
Abbildung 8: A "dune" in a shear flow,
Influence of the ideal fluid

\[ FT[\tau] = \frac{(-ik)^{2/3}}{Ai'(0)} Ai(0) \frac{FT[f]}{\beta^* - 1/|k|}, \text{ with } \beta^* = (3Ai'(0))^{-1}(-ik)^{1/3} \]
Influence of the ideal fluid

\[ FT[\tau] = \frac{(-ik)^{2/3}}{Ai'(0)} Ai(0) \frac{FT[f]}{\beta^* - 1/|k|}, \]

with \( \beta^* = (3Ai'(0))^{-1}(-ik)^{1/3} \)

remember Hermann, Kroy, & Sauermann and Andreotti, Claudin & Doaudy:

\[ \tau = \left( \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f'}{x-\xi} d\xi \right) + Bf' \]

\[ FT[\tau] = \frac{FT[f]}{|k|} + (-ik)B FT[f] \]
Stability analysis

- Infinite depth case (Hilbert case). The real part of $\sigma$ for $\beta = V = \gamma = 1$ as function of the wave length $k$:
  - on the left figure $\Lambda = 0$: there is no slope effect
  - on the right figure, we focus on the small $k$ which are amplified when $\Lambda = 0$, but are damped for $\Lambda > 0$ (following the arrow, from up to down $\Lambda = 0, \Lambda = 0.1, \Lambda = 0.2, \Lambda = 0.3, \Lambda = 0.316$ and $\Lambda = 0.4$).
Slope effect: influence of $\Lambda$

Bump shape $t = 500$, (4 bumps coexist with $\beta = 1$, $\gamma = 1$, $V = 1$, $\tau_s = -0.05$), $\Lambda = 0$, $\Lambda = 0.1$ and $\Lambda = 0.2$ (the curves are shifted to place the maximum at the origin)
Coarsening process, Hilbert case

Examples of long time evolution of $2\pi/k$ the wave length value maximizing the bump spectrum (corresponding mostly to the number of bumps present in the domain). This is an infinite depth case for a domain of length $2L_x$. If $\Lambda = 0$, there is finally only one bump of size $2L_x$ (the largest possible). If $\Lambda < 0.316$, two bumps (of size $L_x$) are present, the larger are damped. If $\Lambda$ is increased, there is no dune anymore as predicted by the linearized theory. Here $V = \beta = 1$, $L_x = 32$, $\tau_s = -0.25$. Notice that several bumps may live during a very long time: here in the case $\lambda = 0.31$, during a very long time ($10 < t < 25000$) three bumps are present.
Comming back to ideal fluid: \( Re = \infty \)

Uniform flow over a topography at large Reynolds number

Starting from an initial shape, the ideal fluid flow is computed (in the Small Perturbation Theory):

\[
f(x, t) \textrm{ gives } u = \left(1 + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f'}{x-\xi} d\xi \right) \textrm{ in FT, it is: } FT[\tau] = \frac{FT[f]}{|k|}
\]

This is known as a very good approximation
But problems arise in the decelerated region (we saw).
Second example: Basic case, at $Re = 0$

Shear flow over a topography $f(x, t)$ at small Reynolds number

Starting from an initial shape, the creeping flow is computed (in the Small Perturbation Theory), we obtain after some algebra:

$$f(x, t) \text{ gives } \tau = 1 + \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f'}{x-\xi} d\xi$$
perturbation of a shear flow $Re = 0$

L: flow over a gaussian bump, comparisons linear theory/ computations perturbation of skin friction computed with CASTEM $\frac{1}{h_0} \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial y}$ for $0.05 < h_0 < 0.4$ (bump size) and $Re = 1$
R: perturbation of skin friction computed with FreeFem.
Linking $q$ and $u$

assuming that $q$ is proportional to $u - 1$ or $q$ proportional to $\tau - 1$
without threshold this gives the same relation in the two cases (2!):

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \int \frac{f'}{x - \xi} d\xi.$$

we recognize the linear Benjamin-Ono equation.
Supposed Evolution

The ideal fluid theory has been introduced by Exner.

Issued from Yang (1995) reproduced from Exner (1925?). "wave" inspiration in the dune evolution
Computed Evolution

Numerical resolution: finite differences, explicit
Tested on complete Benjamin - Ono: RHS+ $4f \partial f / \partial x$ gives the soliton $1/(1 + x^2)$

But here we observe the dispersion of the bump...

animation another animation
Remark: linear KDV equation

The linear KDV equation reads $\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial x^3}$, with selfsimilar solutions, $\eta = xt^{-1/3}$:

"Mascaret" solution: $f(x, t) = \int_{3^{-1/3}\eta}^{\infty} Ai(\xi) d\xi$; Airy solution: $f(x, t) = t^{-1/3} Ai\left(\frac{\eta}{3^{1/3}}\right)$.

animation
asymptotic solution of L.B.O.

L.B.O.

\[ \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \int \frac{f'}{x-\xi} d\xi. \]

Selfsimilar variable \( \eta = xt^{-1/2} \), self similar solution \( f(x, t) = t^{-1/2}\phi(xt^{-1/2}) \).

In the Fourier space \( \exp(-ikx) \) gives, in the RHS, \(-i|k|k\exp(-ikx)\), so:

\[ -\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \int \frac{f'}{x-\xi} d\xi \simeq i \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} \]

The self similar problem is approximated by:

\[ -\frac{1}{2} (\phi(\eta) + \eta\phi'(\eta)) \simeq i\phi''(\eta). \]

whose exact solution is \( \phi(\eta) = \exp(i(\eta/2)^2) \).
asymptotic solution of L.B.O.

Plot of the numerical solution $t^{1/2}f(x, t)$ function of $xt^{-1/2}$
the exact solution of the approximated problem $\cos(1 + (\eta/2)^2)$.
Conclusion

- not very realistical flow
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Conclusion

- not very realistical flow

- but accurate evaluation of skin friction (compared to NS), which allows a small flow separation

- prediction of a special dependance of the dune velocity $m^{-1/4}$

- 3D evaluation of skin friction

- comprehension of the influence of the viscous boundary layer (destabilisation) versus the ideal fluid effect (dispersive).
Perspectives

- Application for a special case: Hele Shaw
- Turbulent integral Interacting Boundary Layer theory
springen,

Zurück zur vorher angezeigten Seite.