#### Asymptotic Expansions in Physics workshop.

#### https://sites.google.com/view/asymptotic-expansions/programme

#### Organizers: Vincent Ardourel (IHPST) and James Fraser (IHPST)





Institut d'Histoire et de Philosophie des Sciences et des Techniques

13 rue du Four, 75006, Paris, France

2nd floor, "salle de conférences"





#### Asymptotic Expansions in Physics workshop.

#### **Pierre-Yves Lagrée**



CNRS, Sorbonne Université, ∂'Alembert, Paris

#### "Asymptotic Expansions in Fluid Mechanics: example of Matched Asymptotic Expansions, some classical results and application to boundary layer separation"

The method of Matched Asymptotic Expansions (MAE) is one of the classical tools to look at singular problems in fluid mechanics. WKB or multiple scale give the same result, but more or less tractable depending on the problem. MAE has been used intensively from the 50' to solve problems depending on a small parameter in the case where the problem becomes singular when the parameter is zero. Singular problems arise at small Reynolds number, we need MAE to obtain the viscous Oseen flow around a cylinder 1957.

Singular problems arise at small inverse of Reynolds number, Navier Stokes equations give Euler/ Boundary Layer decomposition 1905. We will discuss the order two of Boundary Layer 1962 and how it creates a perturbation of Euler at next order. We will apply MAE to boundary layer separation (wich is a singularity of the Boundary Layer which has to be solved by the "triple deck" 1969: a boundary layer in the boundary layer).

More recently other problems like pinching, drop impact, thin films... present some singularities and are solved with asymptotic methods together with numeric simulations showing the continuous need of some asymptotics to understand flows.





#### temptative definition of singularity (in fluid mechanics)

**Singularities** involve quantities **diverging** in either space or time (so-called blowup) or the **divergence of some derivative** of the original quantities.

Intuitively, this means that a **local length scale of the system goes to zero.** Often this is the result of **nonlinearities** of the problem, which couple different length scales.

> J. Eggers, M. A. Fontelos Singularities Formation, Structure, and Propagation Cambridge University Press (2015)

non linearities small parameter small ratio of scales diverging quantity







## Examples of classical singularities that we will see today

 $\partial$ 'Alembert paradox: no drag in ideal fluids  $\varepsilon = 1/Re$ -> viscous effect, small boundary layer (Matched Asymptotic Expansion)

Singularity at separation of the boundary layer  $\varepsilon = Re^{-1/8}$ -> introduce a boundary layer in the boundary layer (Matched Asymptotic Expansion)

Impossibility to solving the very viscous flow around a cylinder in a flow (Oseen)  $\varepsilon = Re$  -> introduce a far layer where cylinder is a line (Matched Asymptotic Expansion)

non linearities, diverging quantity

 $\varepsilon \ll 1 \;\; {\rm small} \; {\rm parameter}, \, {\rm small} \; {\rm ratio} \; {\rm of} \; {\rm scales}, \; {\rm dominant} \; {\rm balance} \; {\rm final} \; {\rm regularisation}$ 



# Navier Stokes



 $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{u} = 0$  $\frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} + \vec{u} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \vec{u} = -\frac{\vec{\nabla} p}{\rho} + \nu \vec{\nabla}^2 \vec{u}$ 

 $Re = \frac{U_{\infty}L}{M}$ 

Real Full 3D unsteady flows Direct Numerical Simulations : DNS

Reynolds Number controls transition from  $0 \le Re \le \infty$ laminar to turbulent

turbulence modeling

Very complicated and serious problems



 $U_{\infty}$ 



**Asymptotics**  $\varepsilon \ll 1$   $\varepsilon = 1/Re$  or  $\varepsilon = Re$ 

Small Reynolds number: viscosity dominates  $\varepsilon = Re$ 

Micro fluidics, some biological flows flow is laminar

Large Reynolds number: inertia dominates  $\varepsilon = 1/Re$ 

Aerodynamics, most of classical industrial flows flow is turbulent or not on a wing

First Question : what is the laminar flow in the limit of large Reynolds number?





Re =

# Question : what is the flow in the limit of large Reynolds number? remaining laminar



zero velocities at the wall no slip is "the" boundary condition





# Question : what is the flow in the limit of large Reynolds number? remaining laminar

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 0$$
$$u\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial x}$$

$$u\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + v\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial y}$$

**∂'Alembert** Institut Jean le Rond d'Alembert

an order of derivation disappears

divergence of the derivative  $\partial u/\partial y$ only zero transverse velocity at the wall (slip velocity)

# singular perturbation problem



 $\frac{1}{Re} \to 0$ 









#### Flat plate













Brillouin paradox

 $\frac{1}{Re} \to 0$ 

FIG. 1. Two of the candidates for the steady solution of the Navier–Stokes equations for flow cylinder at  $R \gg 1$ . (a) attached potential flow. (b) Kirchhoff free-streamline flow.

SIAM REVIEW Vol 23, No. 3, July 1981 © 1981 Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics 0036-1445/81/2303-0003\$01 00/0

Kirchhoff - Helmholtz

#### **D'ALEMBERT'S PARADOX**\*





# ∂'Alembert Paradox 1752: no drag on the plate

In viscous fluid there is a small layer near the plate where the viscous effect are important

In ideal fluid, there is no drag on a flat plate (∂'Alembert Paradox)

one has to introduce the "boundary layer" : a thin layer near the plate where the neglected viscous effect comes back (dominant balance)

The no slip condition is now verified A viscous drag appears

#### University of Göttingen

Prandtl 1905 Blasius 1908 Hiemenz 1911 Von Kármán 1921 Pohlhausen 1922



Goldstein 1948 F.T. Smith 19 Schlichting 60-70 Neiland 1969 Drela, Cebecci, Le Balleur, Cousteix 80' 90'

#### GB

J. Lighthill 70' K. Stewarton 1969 F.T. Smith 1980



# singular perturbation problem

when  $\varepsilon = 1/Re$  the NS equation becomes singular *i.e.* we can not full fill all boundary conditions

how to re-obtain the whole set of boundary conditions?

one needs some asymptotic methods to solve the full problem for  $\varepsilon \to 0$ 

Matched Asymptotic Expansion

we first start by a simple model







singular problem at  $\varepsilon = 0$ 

Friedrichs problem 1942 : a model problem to introduce Matched Asymptotic Expansion

#### A simple model to understand Navier Stokes

S. Kaplun 1957

M. Van Dyke, Perturbation methods in Fluid Mechanics Pergammon (1975)
J. Hinch Perturbation Methods, Cambridge University Press, (1991)
C. M. Bender, S.A. Orzag Advanced Mathematical methods for scientists and engineers Mc Graw Hill (1991)







#### "external problem"

equation degenerates  $\varepsilon = 0$ 

$$\frac{df(y)}{dy} = \frac{1}{2}, \ f(0) = 0; \ f(1) = 1,$$

solution, but only one BC verified

$$f(y) = \frac{y+1}{2}, \quad f(0) \neq 0 \quad f(1) = 1$$

## Fluids: "external problem" is Euler Problem : one BC is missing







"internal problem"

 $\varepsilon \to 0$ 

do a change of scale  $y = \delta(\varepsilon)\tilde{y}$ 

$$\varepsilon \frac{d^2 \tilde{f}(\tilde{y})}{\delta^2 d\tilde{y}^2} + \frac{d\tilde{f}(\tilde{y})}{\delta d\tilde{y}} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

small x large + large = O(1)

#### **Dominant Balance**

large + large = O(1)

the new sale is  $\delta(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon$ equation is now (lost term comes back)

$$\frac{d^2 \tilde{f}}{d \tilde{y}^2} + \frac{d \tilde{f}}{d \tilde{y}} = 0,$$

Solution at the new scale :

$$\tilde{f}(\tilde{y}) = A(1 - e^{-\tilde{y}}).$$



Fluids "internal problem" is the Boundary Layer Problem





embert itut lean le Rond d'Alembert

#### "matching"

two descriptions  $\varepsilon \to 0$ internal  $f(y \to 0) = 1/2$  $f(y) = \frac{y+1}{2}$ 

external

 $\tilde{f}(\tilde{y}) = A(1 - e^{-\tilde{y}}).$   $\tilde{f}(\tilde{y} \to \infty) = A$ 

"asymptotic matching"

 $\underset{y \rightarrow 0}{lim[f(y)] = lim[\tilde{f}(\tilde{y})]}$ 

two final descriptions

 $f(y) = \frac{1}{2}(y+1) \qquad \tilde{f}(\tilde{y}) = \frac{1}{2}(1-e^{-\tilde{y}})$ 

sum of both minus common limit is :

Composite expansion  $f(y) = \frac{1}{2}(y+1) - \frac{e^{-y/\varepsilon}}{2}$ 



#### "matching"





lembert

Here we solved with Matched Asymptotic Expansion

The **same** example can be solved with: -Multiple Scale -WKB -Renormalisation

same final result







#### Multiple Scale

must be in small scale description  $y = \varepsilon \tilde{y}$ 

$$\frac{d^{2}\tilde{f}(\tilde{y})}{d\tilde{y}^{2}} + \frac{d\tilde{f}(\tilde{y})}{d\tilde{y}} = \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$
  
two scales  
 $\tilde{y}_{0} = \tilde{y}, \ \tilde{y}_{1} = \varepsilon \tilde{y}$   
derivative  
 $\frac{d}{d\tilde{y}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial\tilde{y}_{0}} + \varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial\tilde{y}_{1}}$ 

expansion

$$\tilde{f}(\tilde{y}) = \tilde{f}_0(\tilde{y}_0, \tilde{y}_1) + \varepsilon \tilde{f}_1(\tilde{y}_0, \tilde{y}_1) + \dots$$

after algebra and use of "secular" or "solvability" condition:

$$\tilde{f}_0(\tilde{y}_0, \tilde{y}_1) = \frac{\tilde{y}_1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{e^{-\tilde{y}_0}}{2}$$

**∂'Alembert** Institut Jean le Rond d'Alembert

with 
$$\tilde{y}_1 = y$$
,  $\tilde{y}_0 = \tilde{y}$ 

same final result

 $f(y) = \frac{1}{2}(y+1) - \frac{e^{-y/\varepsilon}}{2}$ 



**∂'Alembert** Institut Jean le Rond d'Alembert WKB

rectify  $f(y) = \frac{y+1}{2} + F(y)$ 

new problem

$$\varepsilon \frac{d^2 F(y)}{dy^2} + \frac{dF(y)}{dy} = 0,$$

F(0) = -1/2, F(1) = 0

use the WKB expansion

$$F(y) \sim \exp\left(\frac{1}{\delta(\varepsilon)} \sum_{n=0}^{n=N} \delta(\varepsilon)^n S_n(y)\right)$$

after use of "dominant balance" and algebra :

$$F(y) = -\frac{e^{-y/\varepsilon}}{2}$$

same final result  $f(y) = \frac{1}{2}(y)$ 





#### Renormalisation



#### for sure, it works







Friedrichs problem: a model problem solved by Matched Asymptotic Expansion (or any other method) but MAE simpler in this case

Singularity in 0 removed by MAE : key idea: a new scale appears by change of scale by dominant balance and matching of two problems at two different scales the *singularity is removed at the new scale* 



#### Come back to Navier Stokes

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 0$$
$$u\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{Re}\left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2}\right)$$
$$u\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + v\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial y} + \frac{1}{Re}\left(\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2}\right)$$

zero velocity at the wall





#### Ideal Fluid: Euler equations

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 0$$

$$u\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial x}$$

$$u\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + v\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial y}$$

$$\frac{1}{Re} \to 0$$

an order of derivation disappears

only zero transverse velocity at the wall





#### Ideal Fluid: Euler equations

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 0$$
$$u\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial x}$$
$$u\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + v\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial y}$$

 $\frac{1}{Re} \to 0$ 

 $u_e(x)$  slip velocity on the wall is the result





singular perturbation problem

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 0$$
$$u\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{Re}\left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2}\right)$$
$$u\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + v\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial y} + \frac{1}{Re}\left(\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2}\right)$$

zero velocity at the wall





$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 0$$
$$u\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{Re}\left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2}\right)$$
$$u\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + v\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial y} + \frac{1}{Re}\left(\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2}\right)$$





$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 0$$
$$u\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{Re}\left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2}\right)$$
$$u\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + v\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial y} + \frac{1}{Re}\left(\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2}\right)$$

 $u = \tilde{u}$  $x = \tilde{x}$  $y = \varepsilon \tilde{y}$ 





$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{x}} &+ \frac{\partial \tilde{v}}{\partial \tilde{y}} = 0\\ u\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} &+ v\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{Re} \left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2}\right)\\ u\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} &+ v\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial y} + \frac{1}{Re} \left(\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2}\right)\\ \text{dominant balance}\\ u &= \tilde{u} \qquad v &= \varepsilon \tilde{v}\\ x &= \tilde{x}\\ y &= \varepsilon \tilde{y} \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{x}} &+ \frac{\partial \tilde{v}}{\partial \tilde{y}} = 0\\ \tilde{u}\frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{x}} &+ \tilde{v}\frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{y}} = -\frac{\partial \tilde{p}}{\partial \tilde{x}} + \frac{1}{Re}\left(\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{x}^2} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{y}^2}\right)\\ u\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} &+ v\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial y} + \frac{1}{Re}\left(\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2}\right)\\ \text{dominant balance}\\ u &= \tilde{u} \qquad v = \varepsilon \tilde{v}\\ x &= \tilde{x} \qquad p = \tilde{p}\\ y &= \varepsilon \tilde{y} \end{aligned}$$





$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{x}} &+ \frac{\partial \tilde{v}}{\partial \tilde{y}} = 0\\ \tilde{u}\frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{x}} &+ \tilde{v}\frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{y}} = -\frac{\partial \tilde{p}}{\partial \tilde{x}} + \frac{1}{Re}\left(\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{x}^2} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{y}^2}\right)\\ \varepsilon(\tilde{u}\frac{\partial \tilde{v}}{\partial \tilde{x}} + \tilde{v}\frac{\partial \tilde{v}}{\partial \tilde{y}}) &= -\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\frac{\partial \tilde{p}}{\partial \tilde{y}} + \frac{1}{Re}\left(\varepsilon\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{v}}{\partial \tilde{x}^2} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{v}}{\partial \tilde{y}^2}\right)\\ \text{dominant balance}\\ u &= \tilde{u} \qquad v &= \varepsilon \tilde{v}\\ x &= \tilde{x} \qquad p &= \tilde{p}\\ y &= \varepsilon \tilde{y} \end{split}$$





$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{x}} &+ \frac{\partial \tilde{v}}{\partial \tilde{y}} = 0\\ \tilde{u}\frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{x}} &+ \tilde{v}\frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{y}} = -\frac{\partial \tilde{p}}{\partial \tilde{x}} + \frac{1}{Re} \left( \underbrace{\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{x}^2} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{y}^2}}_{\partial \tilde{y}^2} \right)\\ \varepsilon (\tilde{u}\frac{\partial \tilde{v}}{\partial \tilde{x}} + \tilde{v}\frac{\partial \tilde{v}}{\partial \tilde{y}}) &= -\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\frac{\partial \tilde{p}}{\partial \tilde{y}} + \frac{1}{Re} \left( \varepsilon \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{v}}{\partial \tilde{x}^2} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{v}}{\partial \tilde{y}^2} \right)\\ \text{dominant balance}\\ u &= \tilde{u} \qquad v &= \varepsilon \tilde{v}\\ x &= \tilde{x} \qquad p &= \tilde{p} \qquad \varepsilon &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{Re}}\\ y &= \varepsilon \tilde{y} \end{split}$$



$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{x}} &+ \frac{\partial \tilde{v}}{\partial \tilde{y}} = 0\\ \tilde{u} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{x}} &+ \tilde{v} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{y}} = -\frac{\partial \tilde{p}}{\partial \tilde{x}} + \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{y}^2}\\ \varepsilon (\tilde{u} \frac{\partial \tilde{v}}{\partial \tilde{x}} + \tilde{v} \frac{\partial \tilde{v}}{\partial \tilde{y}}) &= -\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial \tilde{p}}{\partial \tilde{y}} + \frac{1}{Re} \left( \varepsilon \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{v}}{\partial \tilde{x}^2} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{v}}{\partial \tilde{y}^2} \right)\\ \text{dominant balance}\\ u &= \tilde{u} \qquad v &= \varepsilon \tilde{v}\\ x &= \tilde{x} \qquad p &= \tilde{p} \qquad \varepsilon &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{Re}}\\ y &= \varepsilon \tilde{y} \end{split}$$



$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{x}} &+ \frac{\partial \tilde{v}}{\partial \tilde{y}} = 0\\ \tilde{u} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{x}} &+ \tilde{v} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{y}} = -\frac{\partial \tilde{p}}{\partial \tilde{x}} + \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{y}^2}\\ \varepsilon^2 (\tilde{u} \frac{\partial \tilde{v}}{\partial \tilde{x}} + \tilde{v} \frac{\partial \tilde{v}}{\partial \tilde{y}}) &= -\frac{\partial \tilde{p}}{\partial \tilde{y}} + \left(\varepsilon^4 \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{v}}{\partial \tilde{x}^2} + \varepsilon \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{v}}{\partial \tilde{y}^2}\right)\\ \text{dominant balance}\\ u &= \tilde{u} \qquad v = \varepsilon \tilde{v}\\ x &= \tilde{x} \qquad p = \tilde{p} \qquad \varepsilon = \frac{1}{\sqrt{Re}}\\ y &= \varepsilon \tilde{y} \end{split}$$



$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{x}} &+ \frac{\partial \tilde{v}}{\partial \tilde{y}} = 0\\ \tilde{u}\frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{x}} &+ \tilde{v}\frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{y}} = -\frac{\partial \tilde{p}}{\partial \tilde{x}} + \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{y}^2}\\ 0 &= -\frac{\partial \tilde{p}}{\partial \tilde{y}} \end{aligned}$$

#### dominant balance

$$\begin{array}{ll} u = \tilde{u} & v = \varepsilon \tilde{v} \\ x = \tilde{x} & p = \tilde{p} & \varepsilon = \frac{1}{\sqrt{Re}} \end{array}$$





 $y = \varepsilon y$
$\frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{x}} + \frac{\partial \tilde{v}}{\partial \tilde{y}} = 0$ 

"Matched Asymptotic Expansion"

Matching

 $\tilde{u}(\tilde{x},\infty) = u(x,0)$ 

$$\tilde{p}(\tilde{x}) = p(x,0)$$

$$0 = -\frac{\partial \tilde{p}}{\partial \tilde{y}}$$

 $\tilde{u}\frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{x}} + \tilde{v}\frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{y}} = -\frac{\partial \tilde{p}}{\partial \tilde{x}} + \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{y}^2}$ 

### dominant balance

$$\begin{array}{ll} u = \tilde{u} & v = \varepsilon \tilde{v} \\ x = \tilde{x} & p = \tilde{p} & \varepsilon = \frac{1}{\sqrt{Re}} \end{array}$$





 $y = \varepsilon y$ 

As long as the boundary layer is "attached" (no strong deceleration for the ideal fluid velocity, or weak counter pressure), every thing is OK

"Ideal Fluid"

"matching"

"Boundary Layer"

many examples... one proof: airplanes from 30' to now







Self Similarity

Many equations present "self-similarity" they are invariant by dilatation, so that we can find a solution invariant



### same velocity profiles but elongated





Self Similarity

Many equations present "self-similarity" they are invariant by dilatation, so that we can find a solution invariant



$$\eta = \frac{y}{\sqrt{x}}$$





### Self Similarity

Many equations present "self-similarity" they are invariant by dilatation, so that we can find a solution invariant



$$\eta = \frac{y}{\sqrt{x}}$$







### second order















Boundary Layer







### second order Van Dyke 1962



Boundary Layer







### second order Van Dyke 1962



Ideal Fluid $\vec{u} = \vec{u}_0$ Boundary Layer $\vec{u} = \vec{u}_0$ 

Ideal Fluid, next order

 $\vec{\bar{u}} = \vec{\bar{u}}_0 + Re^{-1/2}\vec{\bar{u}}_1 + \dots$ 





### second order Van Dyke 1962

asymptotic expansion in powers of Reynolds



regular expansion!

Ideal Fluid $\vec{u} = \vec{u}_0$ Boundary Layer $\vec{u} = \vec{u}_0$ 

Ideal Fluid, etc

**∂'Alembert** 

Ideal Fluid, next order  $\vec{u} = \vec{u}_0 + Re^{-1/2}\vec{u}_1 + \dots$ 

Boundary Layer, next order  $\vec{\tilde{u}} = \vec{\tilde{u}}_0 + Re^{-1/2}\vec{\tilde{u}}_1 + \dots$ 

 $\vec{\bar{u}} = \vec{\bar{u}}_0 + Re^{-1/2}\vec{\bar{u}}_1 + Re^{-1}\vec{\bar{u}}_2 \dots$ 



### problem solved?







### problem solved? Boundary Layer separation







### **Boundary Layer separation**



$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \bar{x}} &+ \frac{\partial \tilde{v}}{\partial \tilde{y}} = 0, \\ \tilde{u} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \bar{x}} &+ \tilde{v} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{y}} = \bar{u}_e \frac{d \bar{u}_e}{d \bar{x}} + \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{y}^2}, \\ (\tilde{u} = \tilde{v} = 0 \text{ on the body } \bar{f}(\bar{x})). \end{split}$$

prescribed  $u_e(x)$ 

When trying to solve the boundary layer equations with the ideal fluid velocity  $\bar{u}_e(\bar{x})$ , when it decreases, there is a singularity, the computation stops when  $\frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{y}}(\bar{x}, \tilde{y} = 0)$  is 0 After "separation" it should be negative, but the

computation stops





#### **Boundary Layer separation**

 $(\tilde{u}$ 



3

2

2.5

1.5

0.5

0

1.2

1.4

1.8

2

1.6

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \bar{x}} &+ \frac{\partial \tilde{v}}{\partial \tilde{y}} = 0, \\ \tilde{u} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \bar{x}} &+ \tilde{v} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{y}} = \bar{u}_e \frac{d \bar{u}_e}{d \bar{x}} + \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{y}^2}, \\ &= \tilde{v} = 0 \text{ on the body } \bar{f}(\bar{x})). \end{split}$$

prescribed  $u_e(x)$ 

direct resolution

$$rac{\partial u}{\partial y} \sim \sqrt{x_s - x}$$
 :

Goldstein singularity 1948

• the Triple Deck

Now we present the scales of triple deck as a rational asymptotic expansion (Matched Asympt Exp)

Triple Deck  $1/Re \rightarrow 0$ 





### **Triple Deck Scales**



what happens here ?

separation

1





### **Triple Deck Scales**



new small length

1





### **Triple Deck Scales**



All our previous discussion suggests complete symmetry between the inner and outer limits, so that the throughout. However, we have heretofore used "outer" always to denote the straightforward or basic approv

at the other end. Often the

e inner expansion-as in our

### Examples of classical singularities that we will see today

 $\partial$ 'Alembert paradox: no drag in ideal fluids  $\varepsilon = 1/Re$ -> viscous effect, small boundary layer (Matched Asymptotic Expansion)

Singularity at separation of the boundary layer  $\varepsilon = Re^{-1/8}$ -> introduce a boundary layer in the boundary layer (Matched Asymptotic Expansion)

Impossibility to solving the very viscous flow around a cylinder in a flow (Oseen)  $\varepsilon = Re$  -> introduce a far layer where cylinder is a line (Matched Asymptotic Expansion)

non linearities, diverging quantity

 $\varepsilon \ll 1 \;\; {\rm small} \; {\rm parameter}, \, {\rm small} \; {\rm ratio} \; {\rm of} \; {\rm scales}, \; {\rm dominant} \; {\rm balance} \; {\rm final} \; {\rm regularisation}$ 



$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{u} = 0$$
$$Re(\vec{u} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \vec{u}) = -\vec{\nabla}p + \vec{\nabla}^2 \vec{u}$$

 $Re \rightarrow 0$ 







$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{u} = 0$$

$$Re = 0$$

$$0 = -\vec{\nabla}p + \vec{\nabla}^2 \vec{u}$$

## Stokes problem in 3D well known solution!







$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{u} = 0$$

$$Re = 0$$

$$0 = -\vec{\nabla}p + \vec{\nabla}^2 \vec{u}$$

### Stokes problem in 2D no solution!







$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{u} = 0$$

$$Re = 0$$

$$0 = -\vec{\nabla}p + \vec{\nabla}^2 \vec{u}$$

Stokes problem in 2D no solution!

logarithmic terms

### Stokes Paradox around a cylinder







$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{u} = 0$$

$$Re = 0$$

$$0 = -\vec{\nabla}p + \vec{\nabla}^{2}\vec{u}$$



Ş

### «Stokes problem» near the cylinder

Proudman & Pearson 1957 Kaplun & Lagerstorm 1957

$$\begin{split} \psi &= \psi_0 \bar{\psi}, \ (x, y) = L(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \\ \frac{\psi_0 Re}{U_0 L} ((\frac{\partial \bar{\psi}}{\partial \bar{y}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}} - \frac{\partial \bar{\psi}}{\partial \bar{x}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{y}}) \overline{\nabla}^2 \bar{\psi}) = \overline{\nabla}^2 \overline{\nabla}^2 \bar{\psi} \end{split}$$

 $\bar{\psi} = \partial_{\bar{n}}\psi = 0$ 

we have to introduce a layer far away

«Oseen problem» far from the cylinder it is just a point

$$\psi = \frac{U_0 L}{Re} \tilde{\psi}, \quad (x, y) = \frac{L}{Re} (\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})$$

$$((\frac{\partial \tilde{\psi}}{\partial \tilde{y}}\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}} - \frac{\partial \tilde{\psi}}{\partial \tilde{x}}\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{y}})\overrightarrow{\tilde{\nabla}^2}\widetilde{\psi}) = \overrightarrow{\tilde{\nabla}^2}\overrightarrow{\tilde{\nabla}^2}\widetilde{\psi}$$

the problem is to find the gauge  $\psi_0$ 

dominant balance logarithmic terms, "switchback"

Matched Asymptotic Expansions  $\psi_0 = -\frac{LU_0}{LogR}$ 

From the scale of  $\psi_0 = -U_0 L/Log Re$  we deduce that the total stress will be  $\psi_0/L^2$  so that the force over the sphere will be  $\mu\psi_0/L$  which is :



FIGURE 12 – From Van Dyke [16] page 164, drag function of Reynolds for a Cylinder, formula (8.49) in Van Dyke [16] :  $C_D = \frac{4\pi}{Re} [\Delta 1 - 0.87 \Delta_1^3 + O(\Delta_1^4)]$  with  $\Delta_1 = 1/(Log(4/Re) - \gamma - 1/2)$ . "Full Oseen" refers to the solution of the Oseen problem  $(Re\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \nabla^2)\nabla^2 \psi = 0$  by Tomotika and Aori 1950.

this formula as been obtained by Lamb, but in the wrong framework. It has been re formulated by Proudman & Pearson and Kaplun & Lagerstorm who fixed the right framework : Matched Asymptotic Expansions. We did not give all of the complicated details, they can be found in those papers.



As says Moffat in the "cours des Houches" 1973 "The complexity of the formula is indicative of the complexity of the underlying analysis".



many other examples of similarities and of singularities the hydraulic jump

#### Example of multilayer shallow water application



in a flume



in a river





### many other examples of similarities and of singularities

non linearities with singularities self similar solutions





. . .

## many other examples of similarities and of singularities the hydraulic jump

Bélangers's problem

the jump is a singularity

this is the same a "shock wave" something happens on a too small scale

But we can solve the problem and find the amplitude of the jump







many other examples of similarities and of singularities the hydraulic jump

solved using kind of Boundary Layer theory



#### Watson regime

self-similar



almost Poiseuille regime

jump and separation

two singularities !!



### many other examples of similarities and of singularities

#### waves, KdV...











## many other examples of similarities and of singularities bursting buble



Fig. 8 – Détail de l'éclatement d'une bulle à la surface d'un liquide, montrant l'effondrement de la cavité (séquence du dessous) qui se conclut par l'émergence d'un jet liquide (Poujol et al., 2021).







## many other examples of similarities and of singularities falling fluid



Figure 7.1 A drop of viscous fluid falling from a pipette; note the long neck. Image courtesy of Nick Laan and Daniel Bonn.





FIG. 6. A sequence of pictures of a water drop falling from a circular plate 1.25 cm in diameter (Shi, Brenner, and Nagel, 1994). The total time elapsed during the whole sequence is about 0.1 s. Reprinted with permission. © American Association for the Advancement of Science.



## many other examples of similarities and of singularities falling fluid



**∂'Alembert** Institut Jean le Rond d'Alembert

(CNrs)



Figure 1.3 Satellite formation in a water–glycerol jet, showing a satellite drop in between two main drops. A satellite drop is the remnant of the elongated neck between two main drops [75, 146].


many other examples of similarities and of singularities falling fluid

## Numerical Simulation of Navier Stokes two-phase (ex water air)

$$\rho(\frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} + \vec{u} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \vec{u}) = -\vec{\nabla} p + \mu \vec{\nabla}^2 \vec{u} + \rho \vec{g}$$

$$\overrightarrow{\nabla}\cdot\overrightarrow{u}=0$$

freesoftware

http://basilisk.fr/





many other examples of similarities and of singularities falling fluid

Rayleigh Plateau instability creating singularity

### many other examples of similarities and of singularities falling fluid Adaptative Mesh Refinement



many other examples of similarities and of singularities waves

# Numerical Simulation of Navier Stokes two-phase (ex water air)

$$\rho(\frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} + \vec{u} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \vec{u}) = -\vec{\nabla} p + \mu \vec{\nabla}^2 \vec{u} + \rho \vec{g}$$

$$\overrightarrow{\nabla}\cdot\overrightarrow{u}=0$$

freesoftware

http://basilisk.fr/







#### water

breaking wave



breaking wave: automatic adaptative mesh at the "singular interface"

full 3D breaking wave

•

10 4 3 1

breaking wave with simplification, no air, with kind of "interacting layers", breaking is not resolved but gives same global behaviour than full NS 3D

t = 3.22 TO



## Conclusion

non linearities small parameter small ratio of scales

asymptotics :

model equations (simplified from NS through asymptotics)

solved with MAE, WKB... numerically

self-similarity diverging quantity / self-similarity

new model equations with new scales etc

full numerical resolution :  $\varepsilon$  is always there!



X

