

Institut Jean le Rond d'Alembert CNRS Sorbonne Université



# "Interactive Boundary Layer" and "Triple Deck":

## models for high Reynolds number flow with flow separation

http://www.lmm.jussieu.fr/~lagree/COURS/CISM/blasius\_CISM.pdf http://www.lmm.jussieu.fr/~lagree/COURS/CISM/TriplePont\_CISM.pdf https://vimeo.com/98712197

# outline

- the classical Boundary Layer
- second order Boundary Layer
- Interactive Boundary Layer
- some examples of numerical resolution with some comparaisons with Navier Stokes
- the Triple Deck, example of numerical solution
- the Double Deck, example of numerical solution FD FE
- summary

#### examples of flow separation



## What we will see:

with various scales

dominant equations are "Prandtl" equations

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}u + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}v = 0 \qquad u\frac{\partial}{\partial x}u + v\frac{\partial}{\partial y}u = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x}p + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}u \qquad 0 = -\frac{\partial}{\partial y}p$$

with no slip conditions first profile given with various boundary conditions at the top

parabolic

sometimes coupled with an external ideal fluid which makes a global retroaction

those equations are a good model for flow separation

### Heuristic condition for Boundary Layer separation

$$u\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \simeq -\frac{\partial p}{\partial x}$$

counter pressure gradient decreases the velocity (mostly inviscid)



$$u\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \simeq \frac{1}{Re}\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2}$$

The thin viscous layer near the wall is more sensitive to pressure changes

Prandtl 1904 Blasius 1908 Von Kármán

## History

Decomposition of the flow in an inviscid domain and a viscous domain near the wall

Goldstein 48

singularity of boundary layer separation

Landau 50' Lighthill 53

Neiland 69 Stewartson 69 Sychev 72

Le Balleur 78 Carter 79, Cebeci 70s Veldman 81 first attempts



Interactive Boundary Layer

Smith 77-

double deck, triple deck stability



"Classical" text book

A good way to "understand" some flows and to "feel" the relative influence of the terms in NS it is a step after "Bernoulli"



Real Full 3D unsteady flows Direct Numerical Simulations : DNS

Reynolds Number controls transition from laminar to turbulent

turbulence modeling

Very complicated and serious problems

Small Reynolds number: viscosity dominates

Micro fluidics, some biological flows flow is laminar

 $Re = \rho \frac{U_{\infty}L}{\mu}$ 

Large Reynolds number: inertia dominates

Aerodynamics, most of classical industrial flows flow is turbulent

Question : what is the laminar flow in the limit of large Reynolds number? steady -> Basic flow for instability theory we do not care about turbulence (laminar) 2D / Axi laminar, steady



zero velocities at the wall





 $Re = \rho \frac{U_{\infty}L}{\mu}$ 

 $\frac{1}{Re} \to 0$ 

an order of derivation disappears

only zero transverse velocity at the wall

singular perturbation problem





 $\frac{1}{Re} \to 0$ 



FIG. 1. Two of the candidates for the steady solution of the Navier–Stokes equations for flow past a circular cylinder at  $R \gg 1$ . (a) attached potential flow. (b) Kirchhoff free-streamline flow.

SIAM REVIEW Vol 23, No. 3, July 1981

© 1981 Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics 0036-1445/81/2303-0003\$01 00/0

#### **D'ALEMBERT'S PARADOX**\*

KEITH STEWARTSON<sup>†</sup>

• Kirchhoff - Helmholtz



FIG. 1. Two of the candidates for the steady solution of the Navier–Stokes equations for flow cylinder at  $R \gg 1$ . (a) attached potential flow. (b) Kirchhoff free-streamline flow.

SIAM REVIEW Vol 23, No. 3, July 1981 © 1981 Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics 0036-1445/81/2303-0003\$01 00/0

#### D'ALEMBERT'S PARADOX\*

KEITH STEWARTSON<sup>+</sup>

• Kirchhoff - Helmholtz



 $\frac{1}{Re} \to 0$ 





 $p = p_0 - k\sqrt{x_s - x} + \dots$  before separation, and after p = p

The sole solution is k=0, this is the Brillouin-Villat condition: the curvature of the free streamlines is tangent to the body at the "separation point" But the flow is smooth, there is no counter pressure. So there is no separation. This is the "Brillouin-Villat" paradox

• Kirchhoff - Helmholtz



 $p = p_0 - k\sqrt{x_s - x} + \dots$  before separation, and after p = p

The sole solution is k=0, this is the Brillouin-Villat condition: the curvature of the free streamlines is tangent to the body at the "separation point" But the flow is smooth, there is no counter pressure. So there is no separation. This is the "Brillouin-Villat" paradox

• Kirchhoff - Helmholtz







Flat plate Prandtl

#### Come back to Navier Stokes

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 0$$
$$u\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{Re}\left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2}\right)$$
$$u\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + v\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial y} + \frac{1}{Re}\left(\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2}\right)$$

zero velocity at the wall



### Ideal Fluid: Euler equations

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 0$$

$$u\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial x}$$

$$u\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + v\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial y}$$

$$\frac{1}{Re} \to 0$$

an order of derivation disappears

only zero transverse velocity at the wall



### Ideal Fluid: Euler equations

 $\mathcal{X}$ 

Linearized solution for the slip velocity

$$u(x,0) = 1 + \frac{1}{\pi} fp \int \frac{f'(x)}{x - \xi} d\xi$$

 $u_e(x)$  slip velocity on a wall of shape f(x)

singular perturbation problem

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 0$$
$$u\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{Re}\left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2}\right)$$
$$u\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + v\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial y} + \frac{1}{Re}\left(\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2}\right)$$

zero velocity at the wall



$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 0$$
$$u\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{Re}\left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2}\right)$$
$$u\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + v\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial y} + \frac{1}{Re}\left(\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2}\right)$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 0$$
$$u\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{Re}\left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2}\right)$$
$$u\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + v\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial y} + \frac{1}{Re}\left(\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2}\right)$$

 $u = \tilde{u}$  $x = \tilde{x}$  $y = \varepsilon \tilde{y}$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{x}} + \frac{\partial \tilde{v}}{\partial \tilde{y}} &= 0\\ u\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} &= -\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{Re}\left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2}\right)\\ u\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + v\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} &= -\frac{\partial p}{\partial y} + \frac{1}{Re}\left(\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2}\right)\\ \text{dominant balance}\\ u &= \tilde{u} \qquad v &= \varepsilon \tilde{v}\\ x &= \tilde{x}\\ y &= \varepsilon \tilde{y} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{x}} &+ \frac{\partial \tilde{v}}{\partial \tilde{y}} = 0\\ \tilde{u}\frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{x}} &+ \tilde{v}\frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{y}} = -\frac{\partial \tilde{p}}{\partial \tilde{x}} + \frac{1}{Re}\left(\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{x}^2} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{y}^2}\right)\\ u\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} &+ v\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial y} + \frac{1}{Re}\left(\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2}\right)\\ \text{dominant balance}\\ u &= \tilde{u} \qquad v = \varepsilon \tilde{v}\\ x &= \tilde{x} \qquad p = \tilde{p}\\ y &= \varepsilon \tilde{y}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{x}} &+ \frac{\partial \tilde{v}}{\partial \tilde{y}} = 0\\ \tilde{u}\frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{x}} &+ \tilde{v}\frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{y}} = -\frac{\partial \tilde{p}}{\partial \tilde{x}} + \frac{1}{Re} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{x}^2} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{y}^2}\right)\\ \varepsilon (\tilde{u}\frac{\partial \tilde{v}}{\partial \tilde{x}} + \tilde{v}\frac{\partial \tilde{v}}{\partial \tilde{y}}) &= -\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\frac{\partial \tilde{p}}{\partial \tilde{y}} + \frac{1}{Re} \left(\varepsilon\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{v}}{\partial \tilde{x}^2} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{v}}{\partial \tilde{y}^2}\right)\\ \text{dominant balance}\\ u &= \tilde{u} \qquad v = \varepsilon \tilde{v}\\ x &= \tilde{x} \qquad p = \tilde{p}\\ y &= \varepsilon \tilde{y} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{x}} &+ \frac{\partial \tilde{v}}{\partial \tilde{y}} = 0\\ \tilde{u}\frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{x}} &+ \tilde{v}\frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{y}} = -\frac{\partial \tilde{p}}{\partial \tilde{x}} + \frac{1}{Re} \left( \underbrace{\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{x}}{\partial \tilde{x}^2}}_{\partial \tilde{x}^2} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{y}^2} \right)\\ \varepsilon (\tilde{u}\frac{\partial \tilde{v}}{\partial \tilde{x}} + \tilde{v}\frac{\partial \tilde{v}}{\partial \tilde{y}}) &= -\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial \tilde{p}}{\partial \tilde{y}} + \frac{1}{Re} \left( \varepsilon \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{v}}{\partial \tilde{x}^2} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{v}}{\partial \tilde{y}^2} \right)\\ \text{dominant balance}\\ u &= \tilde{u} \qquad v &= \varepsilon \tilde{v}\\ x &= \tilde{x} \qquad p &= \tilde{p} \qquad \varepsilon &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{Re}}\\ y &= \varepsilon \tilde{y} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{x}} &+ \frac{\partial \tilde{v}}{\partial \tilde{y}} = 0\\ \tilde{u}\frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{x}} &+ \tilde{v}\frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{y}} = -\frac{\partial \tilde{p}}{\partial \tilde{x}} + \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{y}^2}\\ \varepsilon (\tilde{u}\frac{\partial \tilde{v}}{\partial \tilde{x}} + \tilde{v}\frac{\partial \tilde{v}}{\partial \tilde{y}}) &= -\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\frac{\partial \tilde{p}}{\partial \tilde{y}} + \frac{1}{Re}\left(\varepsilon\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{v}}{\partial \tilde{x}^2} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{v}}{\partial \tilde{y}^2}\right)\\ \text{dominant balance}\\ u &= \tilde{u} \qquad v = \varepsilon \tilde{v}\\ x &= \tilde{x} \qquad p = \tilde{p} \qquad \varepsilon = \frac{1}{\sqrt{Re}}\\ y &= \varepsilon \tilde{y} \end{split}$$



$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{x}} &+ \frac{\partial \tilde{v}}{\partial \tilde{y}} = 0\\ \tilde{u}\frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{x}} &+ \tilde{v}\frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{y}} = -\frac{\partial \tilde{p}}{\partial \tilde{x}} + \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{y}^2}\\ 0 &= -\frac{\partial \tilde{p}}{\partial \tilde{y}} \end{aligned}$$

#### dominant balance

 $\begin{array}{ll} u = \tilde{u} & v = \varepsilon \tilde{v} \\ x = \tilde{x} & p = \tilde{p} & \varepsilon = \frac{1}{\sqrt{Re}} \\ y = \varepsilon \tilde{y} \end{array}$ 

 $\frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{x}} + \frac{\partial \tilde{v}}{\partial \tilde{y}} = 0$  $\tilde{u}\frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{x}} + \tilde{v}\frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{u}} = -\frac{\partial \tilde{p}}{\partial \tilde{x}} + \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{y}^2}$  $0 = -\frac{\partial \tilde{p}}{\partial \tilde{u}}$ 

#### dominant balance

 $v = \varepsilon \tilde{v}$  $u = \tilde{u}$  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\sqrt{Re}}$  $p = \tilde{p}$  $x = \tilde{x}$  $y = \varepsilon \tilde{y}$ 

"Matched Asymptotic Expansion"

Matching

 $\tilde{u}(\tilde{x},\infty) = u(x,0)$ 

$$\tilde{p}(\tilde{x}) = p(x,0)$$

## "Matched Asymptotic Expansion"



## "Matched Asymptotic Expansion"



 $\tilde{u}\frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{x}} + \tilde{v}\frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{y}} = -\frac{\partial \tilde{p}}{\partial \tilde{x}} + \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{y}^2} \text{ boundary layer parabolic}$ 

As long as the boundary layer is "attached" (no strong deceleration for the ideal fluid velocity, or weak counter pressure), every thing is OK

 $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\sqrt{Re}}$ 

As long as the boundary layer is "attached" (no strong deceleration for the ideal fluid velocity, or weak counter pressure), every thing is OK



Figure 10.16 Separation of flow in a highly divergent channel.

gradient is favorable and the flow adheres to the wall. Downstream of the throat a large enough adverse pressure gradient can cause separation.

The boundary layer equations are valid only as far downstream as the point of separation. Beyond it the boundary layer becomes so thick that the basic underlying assumptions become invalid. Moreover, the parabolic character of the boundary layer equations requires that a numerical integration is possible only in the direction of advection (along which information is propagated), which is *upstream* within the reversed flow region. A forward (downstream) integration of the boundary layer equations therefore breaks down after the separation point. Last, we can no longer apply potential theory to find the pressure distribution in the separated region, as the effective boundary of the irrotational flow is no longer the solid surface but some unknown shape encompassing part of the body plus the separated region.



$$\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\sqrt{Re}}$$

As long as the boundary layer is "attached" (no strong deceleration for the ideal fluid velocity, or weak counter pressure), every thing is OK

Figure 10.16 Separation of flow in a highly divergent channel.

problem: separation

gradient is favorable and the flow adheres to the valu Downstream of the throat a large enough adverse pressure gradient can cause separation.

The boundary layer equations are valid only as far downstream as the point of separation. Beyond it the boundary layer becomes so thick that the basic underlying assumptions become invalid. We ever, the parabolic character of the boundary layer equations requires the anumerical integration is possible only in the direction of advection (alege which information is propagated), which is *upstream* within the reversed flow region. A forward (downstream) integration of the boundary layer equations therefore breaks down after the separation point. Last, we can no longer apply potential theory to find the pressure distribution in the separated region, as the effective boundary of the irrotational flow is no longer the solid surface but some unknown shape encompassing part of the body plus the separated region.

$$\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\sqrt{Re}}$$


#### some problems: separation



Singularity at the point of separation: we can not cross  $\frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{y}} = 0$ 

 $\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \sim \sqrt{x_s - x} +$ 

prescribed  $u_e(x)$ 

direct resolution

Landau 50' Goldstein 48

 $\overline{Re}$ 

#### some problems: separation



### 2nd order BLT



Van Dyke 62



Van Dyke 62

$$\tilde{\delta}_1 = \int_0^\infty (1 - \tilde{u}/\bar{u}_e) d\tilde{y}$$



the displacement thickness corresponds to the same flux of mass for the actual velocity and for a flat one displaced by this height

 $\delta 1$ 



$$\tilde{\delta}_1 = \int_0^\infty (1 - \tilde{u}/\bar{u}_e) d\tilde{y}$$



Van Dyke 62

ce the outer overlap domain

o higher approximations the

f the intermediate and outer irfoil, where in the latter we the flow near the nose is drastically altered by doubling the free-stream speed.

In general, matching must proceed diate expansion of the expansion and perfut body the solid priors in Fig. 56. The basic and the propriate order. The propriate order.



at

which in turn exerts a secondary influence on the outer expansion, and so on. This order is inviolable in the direct problem of boundary-layer theory, to example **NEXT OLDER** 

 $ilde{\delta}_1$  $(1 - \tilde{u}/\bar{u}_e)d\tilde{y}$ 



$$\tilde{\delta}_1 = \int_0^\infty (1 - \tilde{u}/\bar{u}_e) d\tilde{y}$$



U<sub>o</sub>

$$\tilde{v}(\tilde{y}) - \tilde{v}(0) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}} \int_0^{\tilde{y}} (\tilde{u} - \bar{u}_e) d\tilde{y} - \tilde{y} \frac{\partial \bar{u}_e}{\partial \bar{x}}$$

$$\tilde{\delta}_1 = \int_0^\infty (1 - \tilde{u}/\bar{u}_e) d\tilde{y}$$



U<sub>o</sub>

$$\tilde{v}(\tilde{y}) \simeq \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}} (\bar{u}_e \tilde{\delta}_1) - \tilde{y} \frac{\partial \bar{u}_e}{\partial \bar{x}}$$

$$\tilde{\delta}_1 = \int_0^\infty (1 - \tilde{u}/\bar{u}_e) d\tilde{y}$$

U<sub>o</sub>



$$\tilde{\delta}_1 = \int_0^\infty (1 - \tilde{u}/\bar{u}_e) d\hat{y}$$

U<sub>o</sub>

Ue



 $\bar{v}(\bar{x},0) = Re^{-1/2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}} (\bar{u}_e \tilde{\delta}_1)$  effect of the displacement thickness

ideal fluid at next order



### weak effect of the displacement thickness



Fig. 5.6. Matching order for inner and outer expansions.

Van Dyke

### weak effect of the displacement thickness

#### ptotic Expansions

-00

f the intermediate problem. t vanish uniformly in the oil the intermediate solution

(5.27)

ause the result would not be example illustrates that the le intermediate limit of the it may have a more complex

iter limits has been bridged apparent that there exists an in's extension theorem, which her or outer limit extends at We forego the proof of this ecific examples. Thus we can e outer expansion at one end at the other end. Often the e inner expansion—as in our ained in it as a special case. outer expansions in the outer

omain the difference between ons vanish in the intermediate tch the intermediate solution isidering

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left[ U - U_i + O(\varepsilon^{2-\alpha}) \right] \quad (5.28)$$

nce the outer overlap domain

to higher approximations the

ediate expansion of the ner) expansion and the (5.29) to the appropriate order.

#### 5.9. Matching Order

admit the source eigensolution of (4.32a). The difftwo expansions is, in intermediate variables

$$D \equiv U \Big[ 1 + \varepsilon + \frac{\varepsilon C_1}{2\varepsilon^{\alpha} \tilde{s} - \varepsilon^{2\alpha} \tilde{s}^2} \Big] - U_i \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{\tilde{s} + \varepsilon}} \Big]$$

and expanding gives

$$D \sim U(1 + \varepsilon) - U_i + C_1 U \left( \frac{\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}}{2s} + \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \right) + \varepsilon$$

This vanishes to order  $\varepsilon$ —that is, to second order  $U_i = U(1 + \varepsilon)$ ,  $C_1 = 0$ , and  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . The results were found by asymptotic matching in Cha means that the outer overlap domain has shrunk 1 width.



Fig. 5.6. Matching order for inner and outer expansions.

Van Dyke

#### 5.9. Matching Order

All our previous discussion suggests complete symmetry between the inner and outer limits, so that the two terms could be interchanged throughout. However, we have heretofore used "outer" always to denote the streightforward on basis

the straightforward or basic approximation, and we insist on adhering to this convention. More precisely, we assign the terms so that the outer solution is, to first order, independent of the inner. The test is to consider a first-order change in each, and see whether the other is affected. For example, in thin-airfoil theory the free stream is disturbed only slightly by doubling the nose radius, whereas the flow near the nose is drastically altered by doubling the free-stream speed.

In general, matching must proceed step by step as indicated by the solid arrows in Fig. 5.6. The basic solution dominates the inner solution,



Fig. 5.6. Matching order for inner and outer expansions.

 $= \frac{\sqrt{Re}}{\frac{1}{Re}}$  $= \frac{1}{\frac{1}{Re^{3/2}}}$ 

## INTERACTIVE BOUNDARY LAYER

## VISCOUS INVISCID INTERACTIONS

Cebecci Smith

Mauss Cousteix: Asymptotic Analysis and Boundary Layers Scientific Computation Springer 2007,

"Successive Complementary Expansion Method"

is preferable to "Matched Asymptotic Expansion"

construct an uniform expansion in which epsilon is not so small





### **INTERACTING BOUNDARY LAYER**

$$\bar{u}_e = 1 + \frac{1}{\pi} \int \frac{\bar{f}'(\bar{x}) + Re^{-1/2} \frac{d(\tilde{\delta}_1 \bar{u}_e)}{d\bar{x}}}{x - \xi} d\xi$$

93

 $-1/2 \frac{d(\tilde{\delta}_1 \bar{u}_e)}{d\bar{x}}$ 

ptotic Expansions

f the intermediate problem. t vanish uniformly in the oil the intermediate solution

-0

use the result would not be example illustrates that the le intermediate limit of the it may have a more complex

(5.27)

iter limits has been bridged apparent that there exists an in's extension theorem, which ner or outer limit extends at We forego the proof of this ecific examples. Thus we can e outer expansion at one end at the other end. Often the e inner expansion-as in our 5.9. Matching Order

admit the source eigensolution of (4.32a). The difference between the two expansions is, in intermediate variables

$$D \equiv U \Big[ 1 + \varepsilon + \frac{\varepsilon C_1}{2\varepsilon^{\alpha} \tilde{s} - \varepsilon^{2\alpha} \tilde{s}^2} \Big] - U_i \sqrt{\frac{\tilde{s}}{\tilde{s} + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{2-\alpha}}}$$
(5.30)

and expanding gives

nding gives  

$$D \sim U(1 + \varepsilon) - U_i + C_1 U(\frac{\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}}{2s} + \frac{\varepsilon}{4}) + O(\varepsilon^{1+\alpha}, \varepsilon \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \bar{x}} + \frac{\partial \tilde{v}}{5.3} = 0,$$

 $d\bar{u}_e$  + This vanishes to order  $\varepsilon$ —that is, to second order in pow  $\partial \tilde{u}_{\text{of}} \varepsilon$ —if  $\partial \tilde{u}_{\vec{v}} = U_i + \varepsilon$ ,  $C_1 = 0$ , and  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . The first  $\tilde{u}_{\text{two of}}$  thes  $\tilde{v} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \tilde{y}} = \bar{u}_e - \bar{u}_e$  results were found by asymptotic matching in Chapter IV  $\partial \bar{u}_{\vec{v}}$  third  $\partial \tilde{y}$  $d\bar{x}$ means that the outer overlap domain has shrunk to half its previous  $(\tilde{u} = \tilde{v} = 0 \text{ on the body } \bar{f}(\bar{x}))$ width.

#### 5.9. Matching Order

All our previous discussion suggests complete symmetry between the inner and outer limits, so that the two terms could be interchanged throughout. However, we have heretofore used "outer" always to denote the straightforward or basic approxi

 $-1/2 \frac{d(\tilde{\delta}_1 \bar{u}_e)}{d\bar{x}}$ 

 $l\bar{u}_e$ 

.....

 $\bar{u}_e = 1 + \frac{1}{\pi} \int \frac{\bar{f}'(\bar{x}) + Re^{-1/2} \frac{d(\tilde{\delta}_1 \bar{u}_e)}{d\bar{x}}}{x - \xi} d\xi$ 

93

#### 5.9. Matching Order

admit the source eigensolution of (4.32a). The difference between the two expansions is, in intermediate variables

$$D \equiv U \Big[ 1 + \varepsilon + \frac{\varepsilon C_1}{2\varepsilon^{\alpha} \tilde{s} - \varepsilon^{2\alpha} \tilde{s}^2} \Big] - U_i \sqrt{\frac{\tilde{s}}{\tilde{s} + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{2-\alpha}}}$$
(5.30)

and expanding gives

$$D \sim U(1 + \varepsilon) - U_i + C_1 U\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}}{2s} + \frac{\varepsilon}{4}\right) + O(\varepsilon^{1+\alpha}, \varepsilon^{2-\alpha})$$
 (5.3)

This vanishes to order  $f_{i}$  that is, to second order in powers of  $\varepsilon$ —if  $U_i = U(1 + \varepsilon)$ ,  $C_1 = 0$ , and  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . The first two of these results were found by asymptotic matching in Chapter IV. The third means that the outer overlap domain has shrunk to half its previous width.

#### 5.9. Matching Order

All our previous discussion suggests complete symmetry between the inner and outer limits, so that the two terms could be interchanged throughout. However, we have heretofore used "outer" always to denote the straightforward or basic approve

ptotic Expansions

f the intermediate problem. t vanish uniformly in the oil the intermediate solution

(5.27)

-04

ause the result would not be example illustrates that the le intermediate limit of the it may have a more complex

iter limits has been bridged apparent that there exists an in's extension theorem, which her or outer limit extends at We forego the proof of this ecific examples. Thus we can e outer expansion at one end at the other end. Often the e inner expansion—as in our



### some problems: separation



### some problems: separation





## Keller Box, Finite differences....

Finite elements





$$(u_{BL}^n - u_e^n) \to \delta_1^{n+1}$$

Semi Inverse Leballeur 78 coupling



### Relaxation:

$$\delta^{n+1} = \delta^n + \lambda (u_{BL}^n - u_e^n)$$

an optimal parameter of relaxation can be evaluated

### A word about the numerics in BL

Finite differences marching in x

$$u_{ij}\frac{u_{i+1j} - u_{ij}}{\Delta x} + \dots = -\frac{p_{i+1} - p_i}{\Delta x} + \frac{u_{i+1j+1} - 2u_{i+1j} + u_{i+1j+1}}{\Delta y^2}$$

at each station find pressure by Newton such that is the prescribed one

more robust variation : Keller Box

Finite differences marching in x

$$\begin{split} u_{ij} \frac{u_{i+1j} - u_{ij}}{\Delta x} + \ldots &= -\frac{p_{i+1} - p_i}{\Delta x} + \frac{u_{i+1j+1} - 2u_{i+1j} + u_{i+1j+1}}{\Delta y^2} \\ \text{at each station find pressure by Newton such that is the prescribed one} \\ \text{more robust variation : Keller Box} \\ \text{problem: remove when } u_{ij} < 0 \text{ to ensure stability}_{\text{Flare Approximation}} \end{split}$$

Finite differences marching in x

 $u_{ij} \frac{u_{i+1j} - u_{ij}}{\Delta x} + \dots = -\frac{p_{i+1} - p_i}{\Delta x} + \frac{u_{i+1j+1} - 2u_{i+1j} + u_{i+1j+1}}{\Delta y^2}$ at each station find pressure by Newton such that is the prescribed one more robust variation : Keller Box problem: remove when  $u_{ij} < 0$  to ensure stability Flare Approximation

far more robust Finite Elements not implemented yet (see the end Double Deck)

 $-1/2 \frac{d(\tilde{\delta}_1 \bar{u}_e)}{d\bar{x}}$ 

.......

 $l\overline{u}_e$ 

 $\bar{u}_e = 1 + \frac{1}{\pi} \int \frac{\bar{f}'(\bar{x}) + Re^{-1/2} \frac{d(\tilde{\delta}_1 \bar{u}_e)}{d\bar{x}}}{x - \xi} d\xi$ 

93

#### 5.9. Matching Order

admit the source eigensolution of (4.32a). The difference between the two expansions is, in intermediate variables

$$D \equiv U \Big[ 1 + \varepsilon + \frac{\varepsilon C_1}{2\varepsilon^{\alpha} \tilde{s} - \varepsilon^{2\alpha} \tilde{s}^2} \Big] - U_i \sqrt{\frac{\tilde{s}}{\tilde{s} + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{2-\alpha}}}$$
(5.30)

and expanding gives

$$D \sim U(1+\varepsilon) - U_i + C_1 U\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}}{2s} + \frac{\varepsilon}{4}\right) + O(\varepsilon^{1+\alpha}, \varepsilon^{2-\alpha})$$
 (5.31)

This vanishes to order  $\varepsilon$ —that is, to second order in powers of  $\varepsilon$ —if  $U_i = U(1 + \varepsilon)$ ,  $C_1 = 0$ , and  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . The first two of these results were found by asymptotic matching in Chapter IV. The third means that the outer overlap domain has shrunk to half its previous width.

#### 5.9. Matching Order

All our previous discussion suggests complete symmetry between the inner and outer limits, so that the throughout. However, we have heretofore used "outer" always to denote the straightforward or basic approx

ptotic Expansions

f the intermediate problem. t vanish uniformly in the oil the intermediate solution

(5.27)

-06

ause the result would not be example illustrates that the le intermediate limit of the lt may have a more complex

iter limits has been bridged apparent that there exists an in's extension theorem, which her or outer limit extends at We forego the proof of this ecific examples. Thus we can e outer expansion at one end at the other end. Often the e inner expansion—as in our

#### Numerical Non Linear Examples



 $\bar{u}_e = 1 + \frac{1}{\pi} \int \frac{\bar{f}'(\bar{x}) + Re^{-1/2} \frac{d(\tilde{\delta}_1 \bar{u}_e)}{d\bar{x}}}{x - \xi} d\xi$ 

small upstream infuence





**Supersonic**  $\bar{u}_e = 1 - \frac{M^2}{\sqrt{M^2 - 1}} \left[\frac{d}{d\bar{x}}\bar{f}(\bar{x}) + Re^{-1/2}\frac{d(\tilde{\delta}_1\bar{u}_e)}{d\bar{x}}\right].$ 

#### upstream infuence



## subsonic


















































Figure 16: Incompressible flow [click to launch the movie, Adobe Reader required]. Top the velocity field  $\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}$  (Prandtl transform), bottom the wall, here a bump, the displacement thickness  $\delta_1$  (starting from Blasius value 1.7 in  $\bar{x} = 1$ ), the skin friction (starting from Blasius value 0.3 in  $\bar{x} = 1$ ) and the outer velocity starting from Ideal Fluid value 1 in  $\bar{x} = 1$ . A positive disturbance of the wall increases the velocity and decreases the displacement. Separation may occur after the bump, or before the tough.



## supersonic

















Figure 17: Supersonic flow on a flat plate with a bump [click to launch the movie, Adobe Reader required]. Top the velocity field  $\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}$  (Prandtl transform), bottom the wall, here a bump, the perturbation of displacement thickness from Blasius  $\Delta \tilde{\delta}_1$  (starting from 0 in  $\bar{x} = 1$ ), the skin friction (starting from Blasius value 0.3 in  $\bar{x} = 1$ ) and the outer pressure starting from Ideal Fluid value 0 in  $\bar{x} = 1$ . Note the pressure plateau associated to separation.



## subcritique F<I


















































Figure 18: Subcritical flow on a flat plate[click to launch the movie, Adobe Reader required]. Top the velocity field  $\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}$  (Prandtl transform), bottom the wall, here a bump, the displacement thickness  $\delta_1$  (starting from Blasius value 1.7 in  $\bar{x} = 1$ ), the skin friction (starting from Blasius value 0.3 in  $\bar{x} = 1$ ) and the outer velocity starting from Ideal Fluid value 1 in  $\bar{x} = 1$ . A positive disturbance of the wall increases the velocity and decreases the displacement. Separation may occur after the bump.



## supercritical F>1









































Figure 19: Supercritical flow on a flat plate [click to launch the movie, Adobe Reader required]. Top the velocity field  $\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}$  (Prandtl transform), bottom the wall, here a bump, the displacement thickness  $\tilde{\delta}_1$  (starting from Blasius value 1.7 in  $\bar{x} = 1$ ), the skin friction (starting from Blasius value 0.3 in  $\bar{x} = 1$ ) and the outer velocity starting from Ideal Fluid value 1 in  $\bar{x} = 1$ . A positive disturbance of the wall decreases the velocity and decreases the displacement. Separation may occur before the bump, note the long upstream influence.







Figure 20: Supersonic flow on a flat plate with a wedge [click to launch the movie, Adobe Reader required]. Top the velocity field  $\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}$  (Prandtl transform), bottom the wall, here a wedge in  $\bar{x} = 3.5$ , the perturbation of displacement thickness  $\Delta \tilde{\delta}_1$  (starting from 0 in  $\bar{x} = 1$ ), the skin friction (starting from Blasius value 0.3 in  $\bar{x} = 1$ ) and the outer pressure starting from Ideal Fluid value 0 in  $\bar{x} = 1$ . Note the plateau pressure and the separation far upstream of the wedge.












Х



Х



Х

# outline

- the classical Boundary Layer
- second order Boundary Layer
- Interactive Boundary Layer
- some examples of numerical resolution with some comparaisons with Navier Stokes
- the Triple Deck, example of numerical solution
- the Double Deck, example of numerical solution FD FE
- summary

### **Does it work?**

some comparisons with steady 2D NS

- flow in an axi constriction (stenosis)
- flow over a 2D bump
- entrance axi flow
- flow in a 2D channel with a constriction

### **Exemple: flow in a stenosis**



- variation of velocity (flux conservation)  $U_0 o U_0/(1-lpha-\delta_1)^2$
- acceleration: boundary layer  $\delta_1 \simeq \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{Re_\lambda}}$ , with  $Re_\lambda = \frac{\lambda U_0}{(1-\alpha)^2 \nu} = \frac{Re\lambda}{(1-\alpha)^2}$

- WSS = (variation of velocity)/(boundary layer thickness) =  $\frac{(Re/\lambda)^{1/2}}{(1-\alpha)^3}$ 

### **Exemple: flow in a stenosis**

Siegel et al 94



$$\lambda$$
  
 $R_0$   $(1-a)$   $R_0$   $x$ 

 $WSS = aRe^{1/2} + b$ 

Coefficient a and b for the maximum WSS. solid lines with  $\triangle$  and "square" : coefficient a and b obtained using the IBL integral method ;

 $\diamond$  : coefficient a derived from Siegel for  $\lambda = 3$  ;  $\times$  : coefficient a derived from Siegel for  $\lambda = 6$  ;  $\bigcirc$  : coefficient b derived from Siegel for  $\lambda = 3$  ; + : coefficient b derived from Siegel for  $\lambda = 6$ .

Lagrée Lorthois 05, Lorthois et al 00







IBL is not so bad, it allows boundary layer separation, qualitative and quantitative comparisons with NS

Turn now to Triple Deck, the sound asymptotic framework for flow separation

# outline

- the classical Boundary Layer
- second order Boundary Layer
- Interactive Boundary Layer
- some examples of numerical resolution with some comparaisons with Navier Stokes
- the Triple Deck, example of numerical solution
- the Double Deck, example of numerical solution FD FE
- summary

# Triple Deck

new scales with balance between inertia and viscosity: rational asymptotic framework for boundary layer separation



boundary layer in the boundary layer

Brown Stewartson Williams 69 Neiland 69 Messiter 70 Sychev 72 Smith 77...



introduce new scale longitudinally and transversally as we look at vanishingly small perturbation of the Blasius boundary layer





Neiland 69



 $\frac{\varepsilon}{x_3} \sim Re^{-1} \frac{1}{(\varepsilon Re^{-1/2})^2}$ 





which are again Prandtl with different scales !

anticipating matching

### Main Deck



The displacement function appears as a perturbation Brown Stewartson Williams 69 of the boundary layer at a small scale: the Main Deck

### Main Deck



Brown Stewartson Williams 69 of the boundary layer at a small scale: the Main Deck

### Main Deck



Brown Stewartson Williams 69 of the boundary layer at a small scale: the Main Deck





# Upper Deck









# matching



Ce qui s'écrit avec les ordres de grandeur précédents et compte tenu du fait que produit sur une échelle rapide x<sub>3</sub>,

 $\Delta u \Delta u / x_3 \sim \Delta u / (\delta_3 / \delta)^2$ .

Cette expression fournit l'ordre de grandeur de l'échelle rapide en fonction de couches:

 $x_3 \sim (\delta_3/\delta)^3 = \varepsilon^3$ .

 $\frac{2}{\epsilon\delta/\epsilon^3}$ 

 $rac{1}{4}=R^{-1/4}=R^{-1/8}$ 

On constate facilement ensuite que la pression est en  $\varepsilon^2$ , on admet (dans cette a mais on peut le montrer) qu'elle ne varie pas en y et quelle est encore inchangée "Pont Principal *Main Deck*. Cette perturbation de  $\delta_3$  de la couche limite déflexion des lignes de courant  $\delta_3 = \varepsilon \delta$ . L'angle de déflexion correspondant est de  $\varepsilon \delta / \varepsilon^3$ .

Cette perturbation est alors ressentte par le fluide parfait comme une bosse de l d'épaisseur  $\epsilon\delta$ . Le fluide parfait linéarisé rétroagit donc avec  $\epsilon^3$  comme échelle et longitudinales ("Pont Supérieur" *Upper Deck*) à cette bosse d'angle  $\epsilon\delta/\epsilon^3$ . La de pression de fluide parfait est donc proportionnelle à l'angle de la bosse en  $\epsilon\delta/\epsilon^3$ de grandeur de la press**ion** compatible (dans-le P(ont)). Lérieu (est  $\epsilon^2$ , donc por rétroaction, il faut que ces deux pression soient égales:

Ce qui donne le paramètre magique:

The displacement function appears as a perturbation of the boundary bay synates survail present is the point of the boundary bay synates are all principal

 $\Pi_{1}$ 

# Upper Deck

Main Deck

Lower Deck

ε.

# matching





Ce qui s'écrit avec les ordres de grandeur précédents et compte tenu du fait que produit sur une échelle rapide  $x_3$ ,

 $\Delta u \Delta u / x_3 \sim \Delta u / (\delta_3 / \delta)^2$ .



1.2. synthese: développements asymptotiques

### **1.2.1.** pont principal

### coupled problem

$$p = \frac{1}{\pi} fp \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{A'}{x - \xi} d\xi$$

pressure displacement in incompressible



# coupled problem

$$p = \frac{1}{\pi} fp \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{A'}{x - \xi} d\xi$$

pressure displacement in incompressible

or 
$$p = \pm A$$
 super sub critical  
or  $A = 0$  in long tube (Double Deck)  
or  $p = -\frac{dA}{dx}$  super sonic  
or ...

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \displaystyle \frac{\partial}{\partial x}u + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}v &=& 0, & \text{``Prandtl'' equations with} \\ \displaystyle \text{different boundary conditions} \\ \displaystyle \text{Lower Deck} & u\frac{\partial}{\partial x}u + v\frac{\partial}{\partial y}u &=& -\frac{d}{dx}p + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}u. \\ & u(x,y = f(x)) &=& 0, \quad v(x,y = f(x)) = 0 \\ & \& & \lim_{y \to \infty} u(x,y) &=& y + A. \end{array}$$



### layer couche

Triple Deck Triple Pont (triple couche)



Ce qui s'écrit avec les ordres de grandeur précédents et compte tenu du fait que l'accident se produit sur une échelle rapide x<sub>3</sub>,

 $\Delta u \Delta u/x_3 \sim \Delta u/(\delta_3/\delta)^2.$ Cette expression fournit l'ordre de grandeur de l'échelle rapide en fonction du rapport des couches:  $\sum_{\substack{x_3 \approx (\delta_3/\delta)^3 = \varepsilon^3. \\ \forall x_3 \approx (\delta_3/\delta)^3 = \varepsilon^3. \\ \forall x_3 \approx (\delta_3/\delta)^3 = \varepsilon^2}}$ On constate fagilement envire hui? la pression est en  $\varepsilon^2 x_3 = \varepsilon^2 x_3 = \varepsilon^$ 

Cette perturbation est alors ressentre par le fluide parfait comme une bosse de longueur  $\varepsilon^3$  et d'épa sseur  $\varepsilon\delta$ . Le fluide parfait linéarisé rétroagit donc avec  $\varepsilon^3$  comme échelles transverses et longitudinales ("Pont Supérieur" *Upper Deck*) à/cette bosse d'angle  $\varepsilon\delta/\varepsilon^3$ . La perturbation de pression de fluide parfait est donc proportionnelle à l'angle de la bosse en  $\varepsilon\delta/\varepsilon^3$ . Or l'ordre de grandeur de la pression compatible dans le Pont Inférieur est  $\varepsilon^2$ , donc pour qu'il y ait rétroaction, il faut que ces deux pression soient égales:

### by substitution3

Ce qui donne le paramètre magique:

$$\delta_1 = (Re^{\varepsilon - 1/2}) \{ \int_0^\infty (1 - U_B(\tilde{y})) d\tilde{y} - \varepsilon A(x) - O(\varepsilon^2) \}.$$

1.2. synthèse: développements asymptotiques()

**1.2.1.** pont principal

Il Fagit de la formulation de Stewartson 1969 the perturbation of the displacement thickness

17 mai 2006 "3DEATC"

ε

### Link with IBL

$$\delta_1 = (Re^{-1/2}) \{ \int_0^\infty (1 - U_B(\tilde{y})) d\tilde{y} - \varepsilon A(x) - O(\varepsilon^2) \}.$$

the -A function is the perturbation of the displacement thickness

remember the coupling with velocity in IBL

$$\bar{u}_e = 1 + \frac{1}{\pi} \int \frac{\bar{f}(\bar{x}) + Re^{-1/2} \frac{d(\tilde{\delta}_1 \bar{u}_e)}{d\bar{x}}}{x - \xi} d\xi$$

this is exactly the same than the Triple Deck (variation of velocity are the opposite of variation of pressure) 5.9. Matching Order

admit the source eigensolution of (4.32a). The difference between  $\frac{deA(\xi)}{dx}$   $D \equiv U\left[1 + \varepsilon + \frac{\varepsilon C_1}{2\varepsilon^{\alpha}\tilde{s} - \varepsilon^{2\alpha}\tilde{s}^2}\right] - \frac{p}{\varepsilon_i}\sqrt{\frac{=\tilde{s}}{\tilde{s} + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^2 \mathcal{A}}} \int \frac{deA(\xi)}{(5\chi^0) - \xi} d\xi$ and expanding gives  $D \sim U(1 + \varepsilon) - U_i + C_1 U\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}}{2s} + \frac{\varepsilon}{4}\right) + O(\varepsilon^{1+\alpha}, \varepsilon^{2-\alpha})$  (5.31)

# exemple: incompressible

1

pressure displacement in incompressible

$$p = \frac{1}{\pi} \int \frac{\frac{dA(\xi)}{dx}}{x - \xi} d\xi$$

coupled to lower deck

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}u + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}v &= 0, \\ u\frac{\partial}{\partial x}u + v\frac{\partial}{\partial y}u &= -\frac{d}{dx}p + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}u. \\ u(x, y = f(x)) &= 0, \quad v(x, y = f(x)) = 0 \\ \lim_{y \to \infty} u(x, y) &= y + A. \end{aligned}$$



non linear simulation, note the shear max before the summit, the recirculation after the bump, the pressure drop

## linear solution

$$\begin{cases} -ik\hat{u}_1 + \frac{\partial \hat{v}_1}{\partial y} = 0, \\ -iky\hat{u}_1 + \hat{v}_1 = ik\hat{p}_1 + \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_1}{\partial y^2}, \\ \\ \\ \\ -iky\hat{\tau}_1 = \frac{\partial^2 \hat{\tau}_1}{\partial y^2} \longrightarrow Ai((-ik)^{1/3}y) \end{cases}$$

### linear solution

$$\beta^* = (3Ai'(0))^{-1}(-ik)^{1/3}$$
  

$$\beta_{pf} = 1/|k|, 0, 1, -1, ik$$
  

$$FT[\tau] = \frac{(-ik)^{2/3}}{Ai'(0)} Ai(0) \frac{FT[f]}{\beta^* - \beta_{pf}}$$
**Triple Deck** 



Figure 3: Friction distribution and pressure over a bump in 6 cases, linear solution. Top left the Hilbert case, just to compare. Top right the subsonic case  $p = \frac{-1}{\pi} \int -\frac{dA}{x-\xi} d\xi$ . Middle left, the supersonic p = -A' case. Middle right, p = -A case. Bottom left, the A = 0 case. Bottom right, the p = A

#### Plots of linearised solutions 3.10

#### incompressible $p = \frac{1}{\pi} fp \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{A'}{x - \xi} d\xi$ subsonique Z f(x)tau(x) 1.5 1 0.5 0 -0.5 -2 Z -4 0 4 х linear

pipe/ subcritical

p = A



## supercritical





linear

### supersonic

supersonique Z f(x) tau(x) p(x)1.5 1 0.5 0 -0.5 -Z -4 2 0 4 х

linear

p = -A'

### shear flow

A = 0



# Exemples with Boundary layer separation

small separation bubble

### incompressible



### supersonic



### shear flow



### subcritical



### subcritical



#### Trailing Edge



Figure 12. Triple-deck solutions for subsonic/incompressible fluid flowing past nonaligned trailing edges with separation [141].

[141] F. T. Smith: IMA J. of App. Math. 28, 207 (1982).

#### [T.\_Cebeci, K.\_Stewartson, J.\_H.\_Whitelaw (auth(BookZa.org).pdf

p144

Why Triple Deck?

#### upper deck

#### main deck

lower deck.









?

#### SUBMARINES CALORIES 540-830

AMERICAN Ham, bologna, turkey, american cheece lotture & transfe	-
CHEF Roast boat bulant autor and and and and and a comato	1.95
TTALTAN Counterly swiss cheese, tomato, onion, oil & vinegar	7.95
lettuce, tomato, pepperoni, oil & vinegar	7.95
VIP Hot pastrami, corned beef, swiss chases colociau & aution denotes	700
SLIPED MELT Hat ashes coord office concercy curesian a russian aressing	1.53
sorrer HELT Hot salami, cappicola ham, swiss cheese, lettuce & tomato	7.95
and honey muenster, toasted hero	7.95
ARISTOCRAT Hot roast beef, melted swiss cheese, coleslaw & russian dressing, toasted hero	7.95
	AMERICAN Ham, bologna, turkey, american cheese, lettuce & tomato CHEF Roast beef, turkey, swiss cheese, tomato, onion, oil & vinegar ITALIAN Cappicola ham, genoa slami, pepperoni, provolone cheese, lettuce, tomato, pepperoni, oil & vinegar VIP Hot pastrami, corned beef, swiss cheese, coleslaw & russian dressing SUPER MELT Hot salami, cappicola ham, swiss cheese, lettuce & tomato COLUMBUS Hot smoked turkey, melted provolone cheese, green pepper and honey muenster, toasted hero ARISTOCRAT Hot roast beef, melted swiss cheese, coleslaw & russian dressing, toasted hero



#### **TRIPLE DECKERS**

CALORIES 510-820

117 TURKEY with bacon, lettuce & tomato	7.95	
118 TUNA FISH SALAD	7.95	
119 HOT PASTRAMI	7.95	
120 CORNED BEEF with pastrami, swiss and coleslaw	7.95	
121 CHICKEN SALAD	7.95	
122 TURKEY BREAST	7.95	
123 ROAST BEEF Pastrami and coleslaw	7.95	The
124 GRILLED CHICKEN with bacon, lettuce & tomato	7.95	-
Served with Pickle and Coleslaw		

#### Remarks

 unsteady triple deck is the lower branch of the Tollmien-Schlichting branch in the theory of stability of the boundary layer (linearly unstable)



 $\alpha$ 

 $C_i \ge 0$ 

parabolic

and lot more

#### Case with no displacement *A=0* "Double Deck"



In the case of pipe flow for a bump length of scale *h* and height  $hRe^{-1/3}$  we are with no displacement *A=0* it is the "Double Deck" (Smith 77)

$$\begin{split} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x}u + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}v &= 0, \\ & u\frac{\partial}{\partial x}u + v\frac{\partial}{\partial y}u &= -\frac{d}{dx}p + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}u. \\ & u(x, y = f(x)) &= 0, \qquad v(x, y = f(x)) = 0 \\ \& \quad \lim_{y \to \infty} u(x, y) &= y. \end{split}$$

#### Case with no displacement *A=0* "Double Deck"



In the case of pipe flow for a bump length of scale *h* and height  $hRe^{-1/3}$  we are with no displacement *A=0* it is the "Double Deck" (Smith 77)



#### Double Deck equations

$$\begin{split} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x}u + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}v &= 0, \\ & u\frac{\partial}{\partial x}u + v\frac{\partial}{\partial y}u &= -\frac{d}{dx}p + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}u. \\ & u(x, y = f(x)) &= 0, \qquad v(x, y = f(x)) = 0 \\ \& \quad \lim_{y \to \infty} u(x, y) &= y. \end{split}$$

remember these equations are solved with marching in space in finite differences

Chouly Lagrée 09 proposed a variational formulation

$$\begin{cases} \int_{\Omega} \left( u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) \zeta + \frac{1}{Re} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial y} + \int_{\Omega} \lambda \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) \left( \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial y} \right) \\ - \int_{\Omega} p \left( \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial y} \right) + \int_{\Omega} q \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) = \mathbf{0}, \end{cases}$$

elements P2 P1 P0 Barrenechea Chouly 09

compare with Keller Box, Finite Differences

Double Deck (and Triple Deck) have a simple analytical solution in Fourier space

$$\tau = U'_0 + U'_0(3Ai(0))(U'_0)^{1/3}TF^{-1}[(-ik)^{1/3}TF[y_w]]$$



#### Double Deck non linear numerical solution



Skin friction with a max before the bump, decrease of pressure

Double Deck non linear numerical solution, shear at the wall  $au = \partial u / \partial y|_w$ 



with finite elements we can compute very large recirculations

everything perfect?

no!









### outline

- the classical Boundary Layer
- second order Boundary Layer
- Interactive Boundary Layer
- some examples of numerical resolution with some comparaisons with Navier Stokes
- the Triple Deck, example of numerical solution
- the Double Deck, example of numerical solution FD FE
- summary

with various scales

dominant equations are "Prandtl" equations

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}u + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}v = 0 \qquad u\frac{\partial}{\partial x}u + v\frac{\partial}{\partial y}u = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x}p + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}u \qquad 0 = -\frac{\partial}{\partial y}p$$

with no slip conditions with various boundary conditions at the top

parabolic sometimes coupled with an external ideal fluid which makes a global retroaction













#### ideal fluid




## small pressure gradient every thing OK

Ideal Fluid drives Boundary Layer



# larger pressure gradient IBL Ideal Fluid interacts with Boundary Layer



# larger pressure gradient IBL Ideal Fluid interacts with Boundary Layer



# small size structure Triple Deck Ideal Fluid interacts with Boundary Layer

ideal fluid



## weak "short bubble"

Marginal Separation Theory Ruban 82



# Triple Deck and large size separation coupling with Kirchhof-Helmholtz wake



small pressure gradient every thing OK

Ideal Fluid drives Boundary Layer



small pressure gradient every thing OK

d'Alembert is solved by Kutta-Joukowski But Kutta-Joukowski is maybe solved by Triple Deck

## Conclusion

- Interactive Boundary Layer allows separation
- Triple Deck is in IBL, this is the rational framework for boundary layer separation
- longitudinal transverse equilibrium
- Prandtl balance is very strong
- strong viscous-inviscid interaction
- allows to "understand" the key feature of the flow

## **Open problems**

- unsteady : finite time singularity (Van Dommeln)
- Vortex Breakdown
- better numerics for large separated bulbs
- adapt this for better modelization in Shallow Water
- need for numerical help







PUNCER CREATE LAUNS COLORED

Modeling and Computation of Boundary-Layer Flows ----



D Speinger

#### ASYMPTOTIC **THEORY OF** SEPARATED **FLOWS**



Georgi L. Korolev

Herbert Steinrück Editor

Asymptotic Methods in Fluid Mechanics: Survey and **Recent Advances** 

CISM Courses and Lectures, vol. 523

SpringerWiten NewYork

Jean Cousteix Jacques Mauss

SCIENTIFIC COMPUTATION

Asymptotic Analysis and Boundary Layers

Springer



# PYL with Frank T. Smith (2012)